

7

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE

DATED THIS THE 26TH DAY OF MAY, 1998

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. DATTU

W R I T P E T I T I O N No. 2424/1993

BETWEEN :

P. Ramachandra Rao
aged about 52 years,
s/o. N. Krishnaiah,
Electrical Supdt.,
Corporation of the City
of Mangalore, D.K.

... PETITIONER

(By M/s. Shetty & Hegde Assts.,
absent)

AND :

1. Karnataka Lokayuktha
rep. by its Registrar,
M.S. Building,
Bangalore - 560 001.

2. Deputy Registrar
Enquiries-5,
Karnataka Lokayuktha
M.S. Building,
Bangalore - 560 001.

3. State of Karnataka by
its Secretary for
Urban Development,
M.S. Building,
Bangalore - 560 001.

... RESPONDENTS

(By Sri Udayshankar, Addl.G.A.)

This writ petition is filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to quash the order vide annexure A dated 31.10.1992 and etc.

This writ petition coming on for hearing this day, the Court made the following;

...2.

21

=2 =
O R D E R

My earlier order dismissing the writ petition for non prosecution is recalled.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Petitioner is an employee of the Corporation of the City of Mangalore, Dakshina Kannada. He had approached this Court being aggrieved by the charge memo issued by the respondents dated 31.10.1992.

This Court while entertaining the writ petition had not granted any interim prayer and in fact had permitted the respondents to complete the enquiry proceedings.

I am informed by both the learned counsels appearing for the parties to the lis that pursuant to the charge memo issued by the respondents enquiry has been completed and no final orders are made.

Since enquiry is completed, it is but

11

proper for this Court to permit the respondents to pass final orders and if for any reason petitioner is aggrieved by the said order he can definitely agitate the matter before appropriate forum.

In view of the above, this writ petition is disposed off. Respondents are permitted to pass final orders pursuant to their enquiry proceedings held against the petitioner. If for any reason petitioner is aggrieved by the said order, he can agitate the matter further before appropriate forum. Petitioner is at liberty to take up all such contentions which are available to him including the contentions raised in this writ petition before the said forum.

With these observations writ petition is disposed off. Ordered accordingly.



Sd/-
JUDGE